



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,764	04/02/2004	Cyrus E. Tabery	H1779	2770
45305	7590	06/13/2006	EXAMINER	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP (AMDS)			LEVIN, NAUM B	
1621 EUCLID AVE - 19TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191			PAPER NUMBER	
			2825	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/816,764

Applicant(s)

TABERY ET AL.

Examiner

Naum B. Levin

Art Unit

2825

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application 10/816,764 filed on 04/02/2004.

Claims 1-24 remain pending in the application.

Claim Objections

2. Applicant must clarify what is "said method" in claim 17, line 4 (in a preamble the claim recites a photolithography processing system);

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1- 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Maurer et al. (US Pub. No.: 2004/0063000).

3. As to claims 1, 17 and 22 Maurer discloses:

(1) A method of manufacturing an integrated circuit (IC) device having a given layout, said method comprising:

simulating how structures (FIG. 5A shows mask error function (MEF) and critical dimension on a wafer as a function of critical dimension on a mask obtained by simulations based on chromeless-phase lithography (CPL)- [0031]; pattern transfer can

Art Unit: 2825

be configured based on RETs such as alt-PSM, DDL, and CPL, or other techniques - [0060]) within the layout (A computer-based design tool can be configured to access layout data stored in a database and apply or select one or more RETs [0046]) will pattern on a wafer (Integrated circuit patterns are typically transferred from a pattern mask or reticle to a substrate ... The substrate is generally a wafer of silicon or other semiconductor material – [0044]) for a plurality of resolution enhancement techniques (RETs) (FIG. 5A includes a curve 502 representing mask error factor (MEF) as a function of critical dimension on a mask. MEF is defined as a ratio of a change in a CD on a wafer to a corresponding change in a CD on a mask [0062]) ([0031]; [0044]; [0046]; [0060]; [0062]);

evaluating manufacturability of structures within each simulation (a tool can be configured ... to provide an indication of a simulated performance of a selected RET with respect to other available (or unavailable) RETs. Because a computer-based tool can access a stored layout or generate a layout based on a circuit design, a number of lithographic processes can be evaluated, and mask designs based on a selected process can be verified prior to mask fabrication –[0046]) ([0045]- [0046]); and

selecting one or more RETS that provide optimal manufacturability (A computer-based design tool can be configured to access layout data stored in a database and ... select one or more RETs based on a consideration of one or more selected features or a consideration of all or substantially all features. For example, such a tool can be configured to select a preferred RET for a particular pattern feature, or to provide an indication of a simulated performance of a selected RET with respect to other available

Art Unit: 2825

(or unavailable) RETs ... and mask designs based on a selected process can be verified prior to mask fabrication – [0046]; DDL and other off-axis illumination RETs are generally optimized for a particular pitch, typically a rather dense pitch – [0050]; Using the MEF curve 502, features sizes in a particular design can be identified as small, intermediate, or large based on the sign of the MEF and an appropriate technique used to define corresponding portions of a mask. For example, as noted above, pattern transfer of features of intermediate size can be implemented in CPL with SRAFs. Large features can be defined using a series of alternating phase stripes (alt-PSM) of constant or variable pitch, using opaque chromium, or otherwise defined. A particular phase-edge arrangement can be selected based on a selected process window for one or more pattern features. Alternatively, a phase-edge density can be selected based on a feature size, so that a fixed or variable number of phase edges are used to define the feature – [0062]) ([0046]; [0050]; [0062]);

(17) In a photolithography processing system having an associated numerical aperture (NA) value in which a reticle having a set of reticle parameters is exposed to an illuminator having a set of illuminator parameters to pattern a wafer with a desired layout, comprising ([0080]- [0081]):

simulating how the desired layout will pattern on a wafer for a plurality of combinations of different NA values, illuminator parameters and reticle parameters ([0003]; [0022]; [0031]; [0032]; [0044]; [0046]; [0049]; [0050]; [0060]; [0062]; [0063]);

for each combination of NA values, illuminator parameters and reticle parameters, classifying structures within the associated simulated layouts based on manufacturability ([0063]; [0064]); and

selecting at least one combination of NA value, illuminator parameters and reticle parameters based on the classifying step ([0046]; [0050]; [0062]);

(22) A method of minimizing wafer critical dimension (CD) variation in an integrated circuit (IC) device wafer patterned with a desired layout, said method comprising ([0062]):

simulating how the desired layout will print on the wafer for a plurality of RET process windows, each RET process window corresponding to a plurality of lithography process parameters ([0031]; [0044]; [0046]; [0059]; [0060]; [0062]);

for each RET process window, classifying edges of structures within the simulated layout based on manufacturability ([0062]-[0064]); and

selecting one or more RET process windows that provide optimal manufacturability ([0046]; [0050]; [0062]).

4. As to claims 2-16, 18-21 and 23-24 Maurer recites:

(2), (3), (18), (24) The method/system, wherein the evaluating step includes performing optical rule checking (ORC), and for each simulation, calculating a percentage of optically different edges that demonstrate acceptable manufacturability (the ORC tool 712 can be configured to identify design areas having potentially unacceptable device performance and/or process yield) ([0072]; [0075]);

(4), (6), (12), (13) The method, wherein each RET includes a combination of illuminator parameters, numerical aperture (NA) and mask parameters ([0003]; [0049]; [0050]);

(5), (20) The method/system, wherein the illuminator parameters include at least one of illuminator source shape, number of poles, orientation of poles, inner radius, outer radius, and wedge angle ([0049]);

(7), (23) The method/system, wherein the simulating step includes simulating variations ([0032]);

(8), (19) The method/system, wherein performing ORC includes checking structures within the simulations based on one of image ([0020]; [0056]);

(9), (10) The method, wherein the aerial image metrics include at least one of image edge slope, image edge log slope, contrast, minimum intensity, maximum intensity, edge placement error and intensity at a given distance ([0052]-[0054]; [0059]);

(11) The method further comprising based on the simulating step, providing a graphical representation ([0071]-[0072]);

(14), (15), (16), (21) The method/system, wherein the same simulation engine is used to perform OPC and RETs simulation ([0072]-[0074]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naum B. Levin whose telephone number is 571-272-1898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

N L

Thuan Do

THUAN DO

Primary examiner.

06/08/2006